CF 82693

'G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1892-93
~~1892~~
(L.R.)

File No 1430692

Serial Nos 1-7

Later references.

L

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial No. 1-7

Major Part 3 for June 1894

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Boyo Gonda regarding the Patti of Nacathanta Village in Akola Gnd Dolu A.

Indexed

Condemned

NB



No. 3093

Appeal petition submitted by Bose fonda, Patel of Bolla Sole - Rejection claim against the Superintendent - Commissioner's order No. 256 dated 12th January 93 regarding his claim to the office of Patel of Bala Hanla -

In 1863 Basane fonda father of the petitioner - Bose fonda, was the Patel of Bolla Sole. Ramje fonda, son of Kerefonda, petitioned to the Dy. Superintendent the fact that on the occasion of a vacancy in the office of Patel of the above village - the inquiry should be made in the Patel. Notices were made in their inquiry and witnesses taken from them. The matter was referred to the Amildar for report - During the investigation, the Amildar reported that the villagers had made a mistake - that when it was in fact to have put the office of Patel Ramje fonda father Kerefonda used to hold the office one year and Basane fonda another year by turns. But latterly the former discontinued to hold office, either from his having become crippled in having

or from some other cause. Isaac Foote denied this and stated that Zerah Foote having resigned from the office only one year and that since the death of his father Zerah Foote has been holding the office.

Thereupon the Auditors reported that, as the village was found containing very seven hundred inhabitants and yielding a revenue of only $6.00 and that there was no necessity for the appointment of two constables, the latter of the office. See Auditors report Oct 2-65.

Since then Isaac Foote continued in office and died on 5th September 1865. After his death the Auditors, having regard to the previous dispute, issued notice for the appearance of claimants to the office and some twenty-one persons with their claims, recommended the deceased son Zerah Foote for the office and the Superintendent accordingly to this in his letter dated 16-3-66. See Auditors report p. 107.

Subsequently, Isaac Foote's widow petitioned the Superintendent in 1867 and it was referred to the Auditors, who reported that petitioner's son, Zerah Foote, had been elected and, as such, the petitioner was keeping the office and, if decided, he would not be justified in interfering with the Auditors' proceedings herein.

5

Annefonda respondents to the petitioners
that in petitioning to the Commissioners of
the Office of Poles the petitioners found
an Indirectness to the effect that the Office
Poles, acting according to the
Hindu Poles with the following that
during Sixty years, Hindus were exempt
from the Village and that accordingly
Separate Poles were appointed for Hindus
in Mauritius especially that the present
dispute in the Office of Commissioner
1865, when Lives decided that the Poles
in the Jamalandi were small. But since
the Jamalandi of the Village Hindus are
considerable. It would be
difficult for me to say the reasons
the Respondents giving the reasons
in fact different things and since the
were chosen Poles of the Office the legal
be said. Yes for me that the appointed
as joint Poles. Since was on the Judge
forwarded the Commissioner for report.

On the 8th July 87 the Commissioner received the
Minutes of decision the appearance of the
forwarded Annefonda before him. Here
depositions were taken on the following
87. Mr. Clarke, Respondent, the Judge the
descendant of Poles Forsooth, the petitioner
and the Hindus and the Village Commissioner
Separately the Poles of the Hindus in the

Conferred on him - and the farmers stated that they were doubtful, that his fellow-servant Patel of the Mandal and the Village given false, that after his death the discussion and that the Mandal had the deferred option.

Mr Chandler proposed that in 1897 on the complaint of three farmers living in Bagfonda it was proved that only one Patel was concerned, Bagfonda was fettered as Patel - that the Mandal and the Village were both depopulated, that Bagfonda was depopulated due to the death in his work (see the Commr's report of 6-7-87) and that therefore the petitioners prayed that the appointed to the Mandal and Bagfonda both Village as they were appointed to the Mandal by population.

Mr Chandler by formal motion - made a resolution seconded in this Council that the existing arrangement should continue till after the next bi-annual.

On the 5th February 87 the proceedings were challenged by formal motion of notice and the resolution in the Council of 6th January that the jamabandi of both the Village was only kept and that Bagfonda was all along the Patel and if this was to be kept, Subedar Patel, respite it would be the same should have the Patel Chandler is prepared for the Patel. He also observed that in Patel Bagfonda stated that the substituting complained of was due to the Mamlatdar's disregard of government order and the Mamlatdar should be fined for the fraud and that the amount is

If the Poles would not accept the terms of Convention with the Russian Government, which [illegible] Government would not accept the terms of the Russian Government for the withdrawal of the troops.

On the 31st July 1863 the Dowager Empress in her No. 285 addressed the Personal Chief Body Guard Prince Dolgoruki and stated that it would not be consistent with her dignity to appoint the Prince Czartoryski President of the Council of State, that consequently it would be necessary to select another person of the divided family and that in addition to the Body Guard the Minister of War.

On the 10th February 1863 the Emperor in his No. 794 stated to his Viceroy Gorchakoff that in his visit to Warsaw he found the Kingdom in a bad state, that the insurrection formed not to the Body Guard, that in consequence of the Government of the Kingdom was only temporary and that it was necessary to appoint a Minister of War to the office and to the military, the Government of the Kingdom, for instance, was to be reduced, the Government of the Kingdom was to be reduced, and that it was necessary.

On the 25th February 1863 the Dowager Empress in her No. 68 stated that the Emperor had appointed Count Lubienski President of the Council of State, the Prince Czartoryski Body Guard, the Prince Gorchakoff Minister of War.

On the 25th July 1863 Prince Czartoryski

the men had attacked the village referred to in decision and in the judgment hereinafter pronounced passed the following order.

Before the Court appear Mr. Lucas, Mr. Pienaar, Mr. Van Heerden and ~~[illegible]~~ examined. Before the Court, it was not doubting that the village willingly and well, should be deprived of the effect of the act of the Native in which he had been appointed temporarily and in his stead Mr. Lucas, whose recommendation should be approved in preference. Right the Chief Justice report should be submitted as to show the whole war disclosed and final order obtained in the matter.

Before the Court petition matter and 31st October 32 to the Government from against the above order and the permanent Government having sent for the record connected with the case and from the full details the decision in Ord. 3. of 1898 and to submit the proceedings with the order appointing Before the Court the matter under consideration.

The order of Government called for was submitted with the proceedings in the case and the Government in the Gazette of 12 January 95, rejected the petition. Before the Court the following extract from 256 for reference to the petitioner.

As there was no separate Court for the Native province in the Government, the Court of the Native village was appointed in the Court of the Native Commissioner. The office was for [illegible] of 15-2-87 and 4-32 of 17-3-87.

13

Pm no 175 of 1892-93

Appt
Boge gowda
of Bellagge
Ar Kalgud talu
Hassan Dt
Represented by Sri Krishnamurthy
advocate ~~[illegible]~~ & after hearing appt.

Appt was the Patel of Vittagge, & its hamlet the Nala. In 1887, the hamlet was constituted into a separate village when the Appt was recognised as the Patel of Nala also as "an experimental measure". In October 1892 ~~[illegible]~~ the amildar being reported that Appt was not doing her duties properly, appointed Respt ~~[illegible]~~ an uncle's son of the Appt for the ~~hamlet~~ Nala temporarily for one year. The appeal is against this order.

No fault found with the Appt that the bounds of the fields were not kept in good order, & the ~~[illegible]~~ charge of neglect of duty was general.

~~Under the circumstances of the case,~~ but consider that for any

neglect of duty the
period appt has been
out of office as a sufficient
punishment, & accordingly
directs that he be
restored to office from
1st July 1894, with
a warning.

W.P.
21/1/94

Sir

Yr Dy Comr has been
requested to restore Dr to
office from 1st July 1894

W.P.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3043 dated 21 May 1893 received at the Dewan's Office from Bogalgowda Patel of Beta Kaval, by his Case Mr. C. Krishnamurthi regarding his claim to the Patelship in Kala Hanla is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Dy. Commr. Hassan requested for submission of the proceedings and a report of the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petition.

2. The petn. should be returned.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 26 1893

14

S.R.R.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

From the Shine Sw 2.5.94, 12/1/95.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3366
7 SEP 93

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Kaptan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th
Despatched 5th } September 1893.
Received

Enclosures No. Petition etc 2 enclosures & file of V.P.

General No. 215
No.

In reply to P. 7624 Dated 9th June 1893

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on the petition from Poojappanda Gowda of Betta Daya, Ankalgud Taluk, regarding his claim to the Patelli of Nalla Manda Kea.

Petition Branch 9/9

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District (4)

No. 215 / 2229 Petn & 1 file of papers

Dated Hassan 4th September 1893

From,

P. L. Purnaiya Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Ferlad Memorandum No. 1264 dated 9/14th June 1893, forwarding for report petition from Boge Gowda of Betta-Boge Village regarding his claim to the Patali of Kalahantha, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire Vernacular record ending with Arkulgud Amildar's report No. 104/109 dated 7th Ultimo and to report as follows:—

2. — That before the Survey Settlement Betta-Boge and Kalahantha formed one Village. Kere-Gowda and Basvegowda were jointly doing the duties of the patali. Keregowda becoming lame,

Basavegowda

Basvegonda did the duties of the office of patali. On the death of Basvegonda his son Bogegonda (appellant) was appointed in his stead. In 1863 Sungegonda son of Veregonda complained that his father Veregonda was the patel and that therefore his name also should be registered as patel. The Amildar after making necessary enquiries in the matter reported that there was no necessity for the nomination of two patels, as the Village and its revenue were small. An order was issued that only one patel was sufficient. Bogegonda was appointed sole patel and Sungegonda's complaint was rejected.

3 - During Survey Settlement, Valahantha was separated from Battadoge and formed into a separate Village. In January 1884, the Amildar reported that there were six Hanthas in his Taluk and that during Survey Settlement these Hanthas were made into six different Villages being separated from the Villages to which they were belonging before Survey and that the patails of the Villages proper who were doing the work of these Hantha Villages also were not discharging their duties satisfactorily and that therefore separate men should be appointed for each of the Hanthas. Thereupon the Deputy Commissioner ordered that the patail of the Village to which the Hantha

belonged

he found the bhandste in a very bad condition when he visited the place during his jamabandy and recommended Baragonda alias Annegonda for the patelship of Balahamitha. Thereupon the then officiating Deputy Commissioner ordered that Baragonda alias Annegonda be appointed as patel for Balahantha as Bogegonda was appointed experimentally and did not discharge his duties satisfactorily during that period.

4. — The enclosures received with the memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most obedient Servant

M Duncan

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

17

5. That the order of the Deputy Commissioner is opposed to Law & natural justice

Chisha Nurt
Adv. for Petr

21-5-93

I thought the order of the Deputy Commissioner is dated the 12th January 1893, the Petr came to know of the order about the middle of March 93 when he was directed to give over the charge, as per receipt here with produced -

Chisha Nurt.
Adv. for Petr

No. 12737 Dt. 30-1-94
B.C.S. 52-93 5-2-94

Copy to the deputy commr. Hassan District with the revn. proceedings received with his No. 215 dated 4-9-93

Redraft

By order,

Chief Secretary

No. 3093

LR 143-92-5

5-2-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

30 January 1894

(6)

Endorsement granted to Roga Jonda of Kattagoga Bhatigal Saini, Hassan Dist (represented by Mr Krishna Swamy, Advocate)

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3093 dated 21st March 93 regarding his claim to the Patel of Kala Hanta

---

Petitioner is informed that the Deputy Commissioner has been requested to restore Petitioner to office from 1st July 1894.

2. The enc. are returned

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.



MYSORE PRINTING OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 3060R
DATE 18-4-94

31 (7)

6-6-94

Incorporated Stamp

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Revenue appeal No. 195 of 1893-95

Revenue Miscellaneous petition No. of 1893-94.

Petitioner: Ave Gowda alias Anne Gowda (Respondent) of Bettagere, Arakalgud Taluq: Hassan District.

Counter-Petitioner: Gowda Gowda of Bettagere Arakalgud Taluq Hassan District (Appellant).

The humble petition of the petitioner above named most respectfully sheweth:-

That the petitioner first petitioned to the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan District for the recognition of his right to the patailee of Bettagere and its hamlet the Mala on the ground of his being the representative of the elder branch of the petitioner and Counter-petitioner's family; and

and the Deputy Commissioner was pleased to confer the patailee of the Flala on the petitioner for the reason of the in capacity of the Counter-petitioner; the petitioner based his claim on his right to the patailee.

2. That the Counter-petitioner appealed to the Government in the above mentioned appeal No: 175 of 1892-93. and the Government was pleased to order, in the decision dated 20th January 1894, that the period during which the appellant (Counter-petitioner) was out of office was sufficient punishment for his negligence and that he should be restored to his office.

3. That the petitioner most humbly prays that the said order of the 20th January 1894 in appeal No: 175 of 1892-93 be reviewed for the following reasons:—

(1). The petitioner is the Elder brother's son of the Counter-petitioner's father, and the patailee being ancestral property is entitled to it in preference to Counter-petitioner.

(2). The petitioner having relied on his right to the office in question this right should have been adjudicated upon.

(3) The petitioner is, at least, entitled to a half-share in the palaces of Pettah-Sagé and the Nata.

The petitioner further, most respectfully prays that the Government will be pleased to direct that the Counter-petitioner be not reinstated in office till this petition is disposed of.

And the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray:

17th April. 94.
Bangalore

B. Subbanna
Advocate for the petitioner

No. 3060

6-6-94

(9)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

5th June 1894

PR 143-92-7

Endorsement granted to the people of Chikkanayakanahalli, Tumkur District

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3060 dated 17-5-94 praying for remission of the assessment paid in the year 1891-92.

Petitioner is informed that Government decline to open the case.

Enclosures returned.

3/6/94

"By Order"

Draft approved

Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

3

Thereupon the Amildar was directed to report what orders had been passed on the petition of Ramji Powda in 1863. The Amildar on the 12th October 1867 reported that on Enquiry no orders seemed to have been passed at all in the matter and recommended the appointment of a Separate Patel for the Hamlet as the previous Amildar omitted to include the Jamabandi of the Hala which amounted to Rs. 858/8/6 which ~~would~~ would make the total Jamabandi of the Village Rs. 973/14/11 and as the Ryots paying this amount lived in four Separate Villages and the duty of Collection devolved upon one man. It was ultimately decided on 29th September 1868 that one Patel was quite sufficient. Vide copy of records submitted by Amildar.

On the 16th January 1887 the Amildar reported the receipt of a notice intimating the division of lands under the two Halas of Krishnarajakatte into Six Hamlets (of which Bellatode Hala Hamlet was one) with Separate Jamabandi and Patel's amount for Each Hamlet - and the collection work were being performed by the Patels of the respective Villages to which the above Hamlets formerly belong and recommended the appointment of qualified men as Patels for Each Hamlet or one for Each of the two Hamlets.

Several petitions were received for the offices of Potel of these Hantas and the Dy. Commissioner in consultation with the Amildar orders to appoint some of the Potels of those villages of which these Hantas formed part, as Potels of these Hantas also as an experimental measure - vide Hukum No. 1241 of 15 February 1887.

The Amildar in giving effect to the above order reported in his No. 1665 of 10th March 87 that Kalena halli Hanta was known in the accounts as Bellakope Hala Hanta and that in anticipation of sanction he nominated Bofe Fowda Potel of Bellakope as Potel of the Hanta also. The Dy. Commissioner in his No. 1432 of 17 March 87 accorded sanction to this also as an experimental measure.

On the 3d July 87 Bofe Fowda represented to the Dy. Commissioner that he had been discharging the duties of the office of Potel of the Hanta for a long time, that he was informed by the Amildar in his Hukum No. 961 that he was appointed as Potel of the Hanta temporarily and requested that he might be confirmed. This was on the 4th idem forwarded to the Amildar for report.

On the 4th July 87 Kere Fowda alias

sufficient ground for the removal of the Patel.

On the 8th August 88 the Amildar reported in his no. 269 that the Patel was not exerting himself in collection and other works, that as the work under the channel, which is of a length of 15 miles, such as clearing rubbish repairing bands together with the work of the Village, could not be performed by one man it was desirable to have two Patels, one for the Village and the other for the banta, and that Annefowda alias Eerefowda being the Hakdar might be appointed to the Banta and Bore fowda to the Village.

On the 30th Jan. 89 the Dy. Commissioner ordered in his no. 136 that it was an sufficient ground ordered that two Patels were unnecessary, that if the present Patel was found inefficient he should be dismissed and another qualified man among the Hakdars might be appointed, that if he considered that two Patels were absolutely necessary a genealogical table of the Hakdars with their respective qualifications as well as an extract of the Barabaluti register should be submitted and that the present Patel Bore fowda should be warned that if he did not discharge his duties properly he would be dismissed the service and another appointed in his stead.

On the 9th January 90 the Amildar summoned Bore fowda and Eere fowda to appear before him and on the 14th February they were examined as to their genealogy, which

was furnished by Bole gowda and acknowledged to be correct by Gere gowda alias Anne gowda.

The Amildar in submitting the above papers reported in his No. 723 of 2d April 90 that large portion of collection was outstanding, that as Bole gowda's time was fully occupied by the work connected with the Village itself and as he was slack, it would not be possible for him to conduct the work of the Village and the Hunla together and urged the necessity of appointing a separate man for the Hunla just like other Hunlas in Krishnaraja Katte and recommended the nomination of Anne gowda.

Thereupon the Dy. Commissioner in his order No. 36 of 16th April 90 observed that it was already settled that there was no necessity for two Patels for the Village, that it was understood from his report that the main ground for the present Patel's unfitness was owing to large outstanding and directed that it should be explained of what duration the above outstanding was together with the statement of the Patel - that the genealogical table did not mention whether Patel Bole gowda had or had no sons and if he had, their qualifications should be reported.

On the 4th July 90 the Amildar in forwarding the statement of the Patel reported that Bole gowda had five sons, that the large portion of the outstanding at the end of March last having been collected during the last month on his personal demand when at Krishnaraja Katte there was still a balance of Rs. 62 and odd ~~still~~ due for the Hunla, vide list attached, and the Patel was strictly proceeded to collect it and that

6

But he was not confirmed as alleged by him. As the principal duties of a Patel viz as collection, repair of bunds &c. were not carried out by him properly during his probationary period and the same was being reported by successive Amildars since 1888, he was deprived of the temporary appointment and Ereppowda alias Annappowda a member of his family was appointed on probation in his stead - vide this office order No. 66 of 31-7-92 - His petition is rejected as there are not sufficient grounds to interfere with the case so disposed of previously.

This endorsement was delivered to the Petitioner on the 5th February 93 and he handed over the maps &c. to the Shekdar on the 15th March 93 in compliance with his demand in obedience with the Amildar's order No. 69 of 15th August 92.

Against the above order the petitioner has appealed on the following grounds.

1. That the petitioner is the Hereditary Patel of the Hamlet and as such is entitled to be retained in office -

2. That the Counter petitioner has no right whatever to the Patelship -

3. That the order of the Dy. Commissioner is not supported by the records.

4. That the Dy. Commissioner has not correctly understood the records of the case.

5. That the order of the Dy. Commissioner

is opposed to law and natural justice.

BK

...ed under Cl: 1 Rule I of Sch. Rules
...ump sufficient.
...dost produced -

appeal is out of time by
e than a month. But the
llant states that the Dy
...nr's order dated 12th Jan. 1893
communicated to him
about the middle of March
3 and in support of this
ation produces the receipt
ted to him by the Shekdar
village map taken from his
...ssion in accordance with
...ders of the Amildar to cause
...ch office to be delivered to
...ssessee of the new nomince
...ceipt is dated 15th March 1893.
Explanation is accepted.
...peal is in time.
...bmitted for orders.

Ordered the appeal
be admitted for Pro
ceed
21/6/93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

(2)

No. 3093

Name of Petitioner. Boga Gowda, Patai
of Betta Soga, by Mr. C. Krishnamu
Advoca

Village. Betta Soga

Taluk. Arakalgud

District. Hasan.

Date of Arri. 21-5-93

Date of Receipt. 23-5-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. two.

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the Deputy
Commissioner's order no: 25
dated 12th January 1893, in re
his claim to the Nala Hentada
Patailu office

30/5.

belonged before Survey should do the duties of the Hautha also, consequently Bogegowda son of Basvegowda was appointed experimentally as per recommendation of the Amilar (Vide order No 1432 dated 17th March 1887 in the Vernacular record). In 1887 Eeregowda alias Annegowda brother of Kunjegowda petitioned both to this office and to the Talik that his father Veregowda was the patel of the Village before Survey settlement, that the complaint of his brother Kunjegowda for the claim of patali in 1865 was rejected on the ground that the gamabundy of the Village was small, but that as the Village and Hautha have been formed into separate Villages during Survey settlement, he may be appointed as patel of Kala Hautha. The Amildar in his urgee No 1020 dated 22nd September 1887 reported that Eeregowda alias Annegowda is also a hukdar to the patali and that both the Appellant and Eeregowda alias Annegowda may be appointed as patels, one for the Village and one for the Hautha. He was directed that the existing arrangements should not be disturbed till it is found that the patali duties are not discharged satisfactorily. The Amildar in 1888 made a report that the appellant

Bogegowda

Bogegowda was not exerting himself in Collection work, that the work of the channel (which is of a length of 15 miles) such as clearing rubbish repairing bhands &c together with the work of the Village could not be performed by one man and that it was desirable to have two patels one for the Village and one for the Hantha and that Eeregowda alias Annegowda being also a hukdar to the pateli, he appointed to Hantha and Bogegowda to the Village. The Amildar was ordered that as the Jamabandy of the Village and Hantha amounted to only Rs 1500 two patels were not necessary and that Bogegowda should be warned to clear up the arrears of Revenue Viz 300. The Amildar again reported that the Bhands were not kept in good condition and that if Bogegowda failed to get them repaired he would select and report a competant person among his sons for the pateli of Nala Hantha. Upon this the Amildar was directed to select a competent hand in a divided branch of the same family as appointing one of his sons for the pateli of Hantha would not be a punishment to Bogegowda for his negligence to do his duty. The Amildar made a report that with all his warnings to Bogegowda he

doing his duties —
properly, appointed Respondent — the Deputy Commr
an uncle's son of the appellant
for the Vala temporarily
for one year. The appeal
is against this order.

2. The fault found
with the appellant was that he was negligent & that
the bunds of the fields
were not kept in good order;
and the charge of neglect
of duty was general.

3. Government consider
that for any neglect of duty
the period appellant has
been out of office is a
sufficient punishment,
and accordingly direct
that he be restored to office
from 1st July 1896. with a warning

28/11/96

By order.

Chief Secretary

No. 3093

LR 143-92-5

26

5-2-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

30 January 1894

5-2

(6)

Endorsement granted to Boge Gowda of Battaroge Arkalgud Taluk, Hassan Dist (represented by Mr Krishna Murti, Advocate)

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3093 dated 21st March/93 regarding his claim to the Patelli of Nala Hanta

---

Petitioner is informed that the Deputy Commissioner has been requested to restore Petitioner to office from 1st July 1894.

2. The enc. are returned

28/1/1894

30/1

"By Order"

Redraft

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore,—9-2-93—2000.

30[illegible]/92
R.A. No. 1473
P.H. 82-93

(General [illegible])

1894.

(8)

No. 3060

Name of Petitioner. Gowda alias Sunna [illegible] Gutteanna advocate

Village. Bettasoge

Taluk. Arakalgud

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 7-4-94

Date of Receipt. 8-4-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. ~~nil~~ one

Application for review.
time
Ramp: Sufficient
itted for orders, with file
LR 143-92 b
ure declare
re open the
Case.
19/5/94

ABSTRACT.
Review application

Prays that this office order pa
in Revenue Appeal No. 1750/1892
on 20th January 1894 may be
considered for the following reasons
(1) The petitioner is the eldest
son of the counter petitioner
Gowda's father, & the patanta
ancestral property is entitled
in preference to counter-petitio
(2) The petitioner having
on his right to the office
this right should have
adjudicated upon

the petitioner is, at least, entitled to a half share in the Pattaile of Bethasöge & Ituläa.

Prays that pending the disposal of this petition in this office, the counter-petitioners may not be re-instated in office.

[illegible] 19/4.